G. R. S. 59]

# GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1910-11
(Gen misc)

**File No.** 25-10

**Serial Nos.** 44A, 44B & 58 ~~notes & Docs~~

## Papers Filed.

*Month* July 1911

SUBJECT.

Promotion of Mr D. Srinivasan, Asst Comr.

| PREVIOUS REFERENCES. | LATER REFERENCES. |
|---|---|
| | Pm 57-12 B 12<br>- 66-13 - 30.64<br>- 106-17 RC 73<br>GR 57-14-1 |

No. C. 300. 1

26. 4. 12.

G. R. S. 11] 1910-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( G. M )

NOTES.

File No. 85 / 10-11

FILE HEADING.

Promotion of Mr D. Sreenivasaiyar Asst Comr

D. 4733A. From the I. G. of Police - no. D. O. 1068/1-5-11 Sl. 44A.

From

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

D. O. No. 425/2. Dated 30. 5. 11 Received 30

| Diary No. 5034A | Enclos. | Spare Copies. | Serial No. 44 B |
|---|---|---|---|

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards letter no 764 of the 21st inst from the Deputy Comr, Shimoga Dt, submitting Mr D. Sreenivasaiyar's representation in the matter of the post-ponement of his promotion to the 4th class of Asst Commissioners

NOTES AND ORDERS.

× Flag E

Subd with a DO recd from the I. G. of Police on the same subject.

TM-30 / 2/6/11 Subt to Dewan.

In view of what the I. G. of Police & the D.C of Shimoga now write declining

Notes—First sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17 2-05.

Serial No.

any intention to condemn Mr S Iyengar's work, the order seems to deserve reconsideration.

Govt appear to have been placed in a rather awkward position either by the inability of ~~Heads~~ responsible officers to judge men correctly or by the want of courage & sympathy on the part of such officers to tell a subordinate officer that he is not as good as he should be & give him a chance to set himself right.

12109/18.6.11

Shown) CSB 17/6/11

Circulate to Councillors - The test of an appointment is absence of punishment on the part of the appointing Officer & keep the former with him on his Dept - The D.S.P will not get his increments of pay due only on appointment but does not think that Mr Sampagiah should be in the Police Dept whether as an officer or as an executive D.S.P - Mr Smith Mr Krishnaswamy who will not think of sending Mr Srinivasa Iyengar to Jail charge even for a short time test matters should



GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen. Mis File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.

Suff. from Cad & Horse — Mr Shirras Engr in Chge of the Govt Training at Shimoga during Mr Mackintosh's absence in leave

Letter 19 June 11

Subtc No 159
21.6.11

Sub D Bers.

MS
21/6/11

On perusing the memorial and also the ~~last of~~ latest opinions of the D.G. of Police and the D.C. of Shimoga, I am inclined to think that the order of Govt postponing promotion should be ~~se~~ revised. The drawback if any seems to lie more in temperament or physical aptitude, and is not one for which the officer can be blamed in any manner or degree. I do not think it right or expedient

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

Serial No.

that increments should be stopped in such cases. The only effect ~~the~~ would probably be that it will make the officer perhaps sour in temperament (if he has any tendency to being morbid) and prevent his improvement.

If he does not come up to the mark, his selection for higher posts may be stopped: But ~~till then, he~~ at present I think he has done nothing to merit being put back.

21/6/11

The order may be revised and the promotion granted. The Officers who have declared his service



GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. In File No. 25 of 1910-11

Serial No.

to be of an approved character may get the benefit of this series. This will be a real test. BKN 22/6/11

Dewan

CBB 27/6/11

12267
28.6.11

Echo by Khasta Council Meeting. Mr Simpson is now Distt Treasy Officer Resident at Khunoje

29/6/11

Note for council meeting

CBB 1/7/11

Orders for the present.

CBB 9/8/11.

Extract from the Confidential report is put up. (Flag F)

The extract has been attached in Pol. in Council section 12/7

25/4/12. Please note for next meeting.

Subt to 2nd C[r] proposal CBB 25/4/12

Seen 29/4

25/4/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Gen. Mis File No. 25 of 1910-11

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| 1850/17 6/12 | Submitted to Dewan with Copy of Council resolution Ind 16/6/12 |



GPB—50,000—12-8-10

(7)

# Extract from the Proceedings of the 277th Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Mysore on the 6th, 7th & 8th ~~19~~ June 1912.

18. Subject.-(Clause v. of the Schedule).

Application of Mr. D. Srinivasiengar, Assistant Commissioner praying for a reconsideration of the decision of Government in March 1911 deferring the question of his promotion.

Opinion of the Council.

As the stoppage of this officers' promotion is said to be telling on his mind and efficiency and as the subsequent reports received about him from the Inspector General of Police and the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga since the date of the above decision of Government have been more favourable, his promotion which had been withheld may be sanctioned from the due date (i.e., 6th December 1910) with a warning to him that unless he shows decided improvement in future, his next promotion will be liable to be stopped.

Sd/- T. Ananda Row.

Dewan of Mysore.

"E x t r a c t"

Offg. Secretary to Government.

*Submitted to His Highness.*

These papers relate to the promotion of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar from Assistant Commissioner, Fifth class (Schedule A), to Fourth class of Assistant Commissioners.

2. Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar was confirmed as Assistant Commissioner, Fifth class (Schedule A) with effect from 6th December 1908 and in the ordinary course would have been entitled to promotion to the next class after two years of approved service, viz. with effect from 6th December 1910 under Rule VIII of the Civil Service Rules of 28th June 1906, which regulate the promotions of this officer.

3. When the question of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion came up before Government in March last year, it was resolved that the consideration of the question might be deferred, in view of the unfavourable report received from the Inspector General of Police about the work of this officer when he was working in the Police Department.

9

4. The decision of Government was communicated to the Inspector General of Police. He now explains in his D.O. letter No.106 dated 1st May 1911 that he did not intend his report to stand in the way of Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion and that he had not much opportunity to report about his capacity for work. The Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga also states in his letter No.764 dated 21st May 1911 to the address of the Revenue Commissioner, giving cover to a further representation of Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar, that he has nothing to complain of him during the period that he (Mr.Srinivasa Iyengar) has worked under him at Shimoga.

Paper under disposal

5. In the light of these explanations, it became necessary to reconsider the decision of Government postponing the consideration of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion. I was also given to understand that the stoppage of this officer's promotion is telling upon his mind and efficiency. The question was accordingly again considered in Council and it is of opinion that Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar's promotion may be sanctioned from the due date (i.e. 6th December 1910) with a warning to him that unless he shows decided improvement in future, his next promotion will be liable to be

Page 7 g notes

(0)

be stopped.

6. An extract of the Council Proceedings is hereto appended.

lea

5/pt/32

11

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

43.
Vol XI.

*Subject.*

Promotion of Mr. D.Srinivas-iengar from the 5th to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners.

5.7.12.
406

60
Returned with D.O.No. 20
dt 9.7.12

*Order.*

D.O. No. 2611 12

D.O. No. 20. See file. See letter 10/July/12

PRIVATE SECRETARY MYSORE

The Palace, Mysore,

9th July 1912

My dear Sir,

I am directed to return the papers relating to the promotion of Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Assistant - Commissioner and to say that His Highness cannot approve of promoting an Officer who has been condemned, in no measured terms, as utterly incompetent both as a Police and Revenue Officer by the I.G. of Police and the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga respectively. Both these Officers, now apparently through kindness of heart, say that there is nothing specific against Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar of a sufficiently serious character to debar him from grade promotion, but their original condemnation of him is on record and this indicates that Mr. Srinivasa Iyengar is unfit for any responsible position in the Public Service. Whatever may be the cause of this, whether it be chronic ill-health, laziness, incompetence or eccentricity, it would, in His Highness' opinion, be a direct encouragement to inefficiency to promote such an Officer and he is

unable

[illegible] promotion.

Yours sincerely,

[illegible], C.I.E.,

[illegible],

Bangalore.

G. R. S. 12 ]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. M File No. 25 of 1910 - 11

| Serial No. | |
|---|---|
| | Draft D.O. letter to the Rev. Comm. put up (Secretary) — 13.7.12 |
| his 58/C | Please have the draft typed as corrected 23/7/12 |
| 58 | To the Rev Comm. no. 363 dy 18-7-1912 |

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—12-8-10

D.O.No. 106 Enc.1.

D.O.No. 72684

D. ~~5034~~ 4733 A

(1)

Bangalore.

1st May 1911.

2nd

G.M. 25-10-44A

My dear sir,

With reference to Memo No.G.5651 dated 28-3-11, forwarding for my information copy of Mr. Balasundaram Iyer's D.O. No.G.5650/G.M.25-10-37 dated 28-3-11 to the Revenue ----- Commissioner in Mysore re- the promotion of Mr.D.Srini--vasa Iyengar to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners (Copy enclosed for ready reference) I find that Govern--ment have deferred the question of his promotion in view of my unfavourable reports. I only wrote that Mr. Srini--vasa Iyengar was sent to the Police Department for train--ing in Police work and that he was learning the same.

In my demi-official correspondence about

him

him, I have said that he was unsuited for Police work, and recommended his transfer to the Revenue Department. I should be sorry that this report should have come in the way of his promotion. I have not had much opportunity to report about his capacity for work and there was not in my office much scope for him to do any work as he was deputed to learn Police work. I hope that you will kindly reconsider the question of his promotion.

Yours sincerely,

To

T. Ananda Rao Esq., B.A., C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore.

A

This is an
unfavorable
report -
in the trade report
on Shemin Lee
Limited & New York
Inc -
Have had
been well established
in the New Delhi
letter by the U.S.
Shemin -
trade he has been
doing fairly well
Thanks

T.V.T. file with
S. Nelson Tate
OK
5/7/

Confidential.

Genl. & Rev. Secretariat.

Bangalore, 28th March 1911.

My dear sir,

With reference to the application from Mr. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Assistant Commissioner, (received through the Inspector-General of Police) requesting that he may bepromoted to the 4th class Assistant Commissioners with effect from the 6th December 1910, I am directed to state that in view of the unfavourable reports received from the Inspr-Genl.of Police about the work of this officer when he was serving in the Police Department, Government have decided that the consideration of the question of his promotion may be deferred for the present.

I am to request that this decision may kindly be communicated to Mr. Sreenivasa Iyengar.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/. C.S.Balasundaram Iyer.

Rajakarayapravina A. Rangaswamiengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.;
Rao Bahadur,

Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Memo No.G.5651 dated 28-3-1911.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Inspr-Genl. of Police in Mysore with reference to his letter No.5104/432 dated the 28th January 1911.

By Order,

Sd/. C.S. Balasundaram Iyer.

Offg. Secretary to Government.

---

"C O P Y"

D. C. 425
2

D. 5034A.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER
IN MYSORE,

Bangalore, 30th May 1911. 190

Q.M.25-10-44B

Attached to
31/5/11.

Dear Sir,

I am directed to submit herewith, for the information of Government, letter No.764 of the 21st instant and its enclosures from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, submitting Assistant Commissioner Mr.D.Sreenivasa Iyengar's representation in the matter of the postponement of his promotion to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners.

I am,

Yours truly,

1st Asst. to the Rev.Co.

C.S.Balasundaram Iyer,Esq.,B.A.,

Offg. Secretary to Govt.,

General & Revenue Departments.

(6)

C. 208.
18. 2. '14.

OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER IN MYSORE.
1910-11 1617
No. 700
Date 25/5/11
BANGALORE.

From K. Srinivasa Rao Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga Dist

To Rajakeerya Pravina,
A. Ranganswami Iyengar Esq
B.A. B.L.
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore
Bangalore

Dated 21st May/11
Despatched
Received
General No. 764
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits the representations of Mr D. Srinivasa Iyengar Assistant Commissioner —

Submitted
25/5/11

Better submit D.O. letter

GPB—75 reams—25-5-10

Rafted
Kan
23/5/14

Dchuby, Commissioner's Office,
Shemoga District
Dated 21st May, 1911.

From :-

K. Srinivasa Rao Esquire;
Dchuby Commissioner
Shemoga District;

To.

Rajakarya Pravina,
A. Ranga Swami Iyengar Esquire
B.A B.L A.C.
Revenue Commissioner
in Mysore Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward Mr D. Srinivasa Iyengar's representation Dated 15th instant, stating that the order post poning his promotion to the 1st Class of the Assistant Commissioner should be reconsidered.

Mr Srinivasa Iyengar pleads that it is hard to be punished without a chance of reply or an opportunity for self-correction, or to be pronounced unfit

without being entrusted with any specific duties. The grounds urged in para 7 of Mr Srinivasa Iyengar's representation seem to deserve consideration. I ought in fairness to say that I have nothing to complain of him during the period he has worked under me at Shemoga.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

R Sinnatt
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

Bangalore.

(Through the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga Dist)

Sir,

With reference to your D.O. letter, Commnr. cated to me by the Deputy Commr. Shimoga, stating that the consideration of the question of my promotion to the 4th class of Assistant Commissioners has been deferred for the present by government, owing to the unfavorable reports regarding my work forom the D. of police, I beg leave to represent the following facts for kind and favorable consideration.

(2.) I was in the police Department for nearly ten months, i.e. from Feby. to Dec. 1910. out of this period, from Feby. to end of June nearly, I was acting as Superintendent of

police, Kolar District. And I beg to state that

during this short period, I believe I worked

to the entire satisfaction of the Superintendent

Lyon, Esquire, Kolar. He was pleased to ex-

press his satisfaction with the manner in

which I worked in addition; and the weekly diaries

I was submitting for my work elicited no un-

favourable remarks as to my work either from

the Superintendent of police

(3) on the other hand, if permitted, I

may say that a case of unfavourable nature

against which had been handed up

by the weak police, but which I had me-

rited and had investigated, came up for

trial before the Committing Magistrate, D.S Krishnaswamy, the present chief justice,

who in disposing of the case, commended

the manner in which I had handled

the case.

(4) During the 2nd period of 5 months (from July to December) I remained attached to the office of the I.G.P. to learn work. I was not employed on any active or specified work, but was attending to such office routine as crime papers, Station Inspection reports etc, and to such others papers as were referred to me while there. I acquainted myself with the method of classification of finger prints. I was also engaged for sometime in studying the draft rules under the Mysore police Regn, along with some of the various British Indian police manuals, and after collecting the materials necessary, suggested certain changes. But I had not the pleasure of discussing the matter with the I.G.P. as desired by him, as he was unable to find time for it owing to pressure of work. This was at or about the end of November (1910), and in the meantime

? was transferred from this department.

(7) Even during this 2nd period when I was [illegible] serving under him however, the ? G. P. never indicated his displeasure or dissatisfaction regarding my work under him, either verbally or in writing. On the contrary, he was always kind in his relations with me, and he commended me personally, on the eve of my departure to Shimonoseki, when I had been to him to pay my respect and obtain his leave, that he had found my work quite satisfactory, and that there had been nothing in my work to complain of.

It is therefore a matter of surprise to me to be now told that I have been unfavourably reported about by the ? G. P. regarding my work, and I am at a loss to know, in the absence of any definite information of the report referred to, wherein

and how I have erred in the discharge of my duties to merit such unfavorable reports.

(7) According to Government proceedings. No. G. 1694-734/ G. M. 128-09-1, dated Bangalore 16th September 1909, "the attention of the officer should be drawn to such unfavorable reports before submission to Govt. to enable him to remedy the defect noticed by the Superior officer, and to remove by suitable explanation or otherwise any unfavorable impression which had been formed in the superior officer's mind without adequate grounds." I respectfully and humbly beg to submit that such an opportunity was not given me, and therefore I am not in a position to know the nature of the reports construed as unfavorable, or to explain any observations regarding my work, or to correct myself in future, if I have really erred.

I respectfully beg to submit that I am not conscious of having neglected or shirked any work that was entrusted to me, nor of having behaved in such a manner in the discharge of my official work as to merit the severe punishment now proposed, but have done my best to the utmost of my ability and limited experience as a man willing to be advised and guided by superiors.

I have hitherto served under various officers and of such varying temperament as the list below shows:—

1. Mr. S. Chandrasekara Iyer (Secy. to Govt. [illegible] Department)
2. Mr. Rangaswamiengar (Revenue Commr.)
3. Mr. K. L. Mazumdar (Comptroller)
4. Mr. K. R. Srinivasengar (Dep. Commr. Kadur)
5. Mr. L. Shama Rau (Survey Superintendent)
6. Mr. K. S. Doraswami Iyer (Dep. Commr. Tumkur [illegible])
7. Mr. M. Chengrachetti (Dep. Commr. Chitaldrug [illegible])
8. Mr. T. Srinivasa Rau (Hg. Dy.)
9. Mr. Shrikanteshwara Iyer (Dy. Commr. [illegible])

And I believe I have given to satisfaction to everyone and won the approbation of all of them — except the last-named officer, as I am surprised to learn now, from the Govt. order.

(10) I respectfully beg that I may be pardoned when I say that considering the above circumstances, my age and career, I am not conscious of any such serious error, or dereliction of duty on my part as to deserve so severe a disciplinary measure, viz., the postponement of the question of my promotion — a measure that is calculated to affect all my future prospects, to involve me in public disgrace and to cast a slur on me almost at the very beginning of my service.

(11) Further, I beg to submit, in this connexion

that I was among the unfortunate
ones picked by fate into service, to be
treated to cat's pieces of flesh, or under
training or waiting for another office.
I have not had any opportunity of doing
what is commonly thought of as office, so
that I might show that I am not inferior
to many who have been in application
to a variety for work. I have been un-
fortunate in this respect and my last
application was kind consideration for
employment.

I have been under Mr. H. Simmons
[illegible] Co. Limited, Liverpool for nearly six
[illegible] years, and I trust he will be able
to say that I have been working with
real benefit to his business while
[illegible]

In conclusion, I humbly request that you will be so good as to support this representation of mine, and to move Government to kindly reconsider my case in the light of the above circumstances and sanction my promotion from the due date.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

your most obedient servant

Shimoga
15-5-11

D. Srinivasa Iyengar.

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 25-10

Serial No. 58

Diary No. of letter replied to 5034 A

No. 363.

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 19

Date of issue 18. 7. 12

Signed by Mr. K.R Sreenivasiengar

To

K. Narasimma Iyer Esqre

Offg Revenue Commr

Write reg^a to the Rev Comr's D.O. Letter No. 425 dated 30th May 1911 in the matter of the representation of Mr. D. Sreenivasayya Asst Comr in charge of the Dt Treasy Shimoga, regarding the postponement of his promotion to the 4th class of Asst Comrs, I am desired to say that the Govt can not

over.

SUBJECT.

approve of promoting an officer who has been
in the ~~Police and Rev Depts~~ condemned as
~~Whose work had been most~~ utterly incompetent both as a
Police and a Revenue Officer by
adversely ~~Comm~~ reported upon
~~both~~ by the I.G. of Police and
the Dr Comr of Shemaia. ~~Both~~ representing. Govt cannot but think
~~Govt cannot but think that the action of Govt in~~
~~these Officers~~ ~~it~~ would be a
direct encouragement to in-
efficiency ~~in the Service~~ to promote
an Officer who, whatever the
causes may be, is found to
be ~~not utterly incompetent~~ unfit for any responsible position
in the Public Service, and they
~~both~~ as a Police and a Revenue
~~Officer the fact~~ are therefore
unable to sanction ~~his~~ the pro-
motion. ~~and~~ This ~~fact~~ may
be kindly communicated to
the Secretariat through
the Dr Comr of Shemaja.

Yours

LB
17/7

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—2-9-10